

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Clinical Outcomes of Endovascular Interventions in Patients with Neuroischemic Diabetic Foot Syndrome and Concomitant Coronary Artery Disease

Kamalov S.T.

Republican Specialized Center of Surgery named after Academician V.V. Vakhidov,
Tashkent, Uzbekistan

Abstract: Background. Neuroischemic diabetic foot syndrome (NDFS) remains a major clinical and surgical challenge due to its high risk of limb loss and systemic complications. The coexistence of coronary artery disease (CAD) further complicates treatment strategies, often limiting surgical options and increasing perioperative risks. Endovascular interventions (EI) offer a minimally invasive alternative with potential for limb salvage and cardiovascular stability.

Aim. To evaluate the effectiveness and safety of endovascular revascularization in patients with neuroischemic diabetic foot syndrome and concomitant coronary artery disease.

Materials and Methods. This single-center observational study included 114 patients with NDFS, 59 of whom had confirmed CAD. All patients underwent lower-limb endovascular interventions, including percutaneous transluminal angioplasty (PTA) with or without stenting. Outcomes assessed included technical success, limb salvage rate, amputation-free survival, cardiovascular complications, and 6-month mortality.

Results. Endovascular interventions were successful in 91.2% of cases. Patients with CAD had a higher incidence of periprocedural cardiovascular events (11.9% vs 3.6%, $p < 0.05$), but no significant difference in limb salvage at 6 months (84.7% vs 86.4%). Amputation-free survival was slightly lower in the CAD group but remained acceptable under strict perioperative cardiologic monitoring. The overall 6-month mortality was 5.2%, with no deaths directly attributed to the endovascular procedures.

Conclusion. Endovascular interventions are effective in preserving limb viability in patients with neuroischemic diabetic foot, even in the presence of coronary artery disease. Multidisciplinary assessment and perioperative risk stratification are essential to optimize outcomes.

Key words: Neuroischemic diabetic foot, coronary artery disease, endovascular intervention.

INTRODUCTION

Diabetic foot syndrome (DFS) represents one of the most severe and resource-demanding complications of diabetes mellitus, affecting approximately 6.3% of diabetic individuals globally, with significantly higher prevalence in elderly patients and those with longstanding disease [1]. Among the clinical forms of DFS, the neuroischemic subtype (NDFS) stands out as the most prognostically unfavorable

due to the combination of peripheral arterial disease (PAD) and distal sensorimotor neuropathy. This dual mechanism not only predisposes to the development of non-healing ulcers and tissue necrosis but also impairs the patient's ability to recognize early signs of critical ischemia, thereby increasing the likelihood of late presentation and advanced limb-threatening conditions [2].

RESEARCH ARTICLE

The neuroischemic variant accounts for over 50% of DFS cases in tertiary care centers and is associated with a markedly elevated risk of major amputation, estimated to be three to five times greater than in neuropathic forms [3]. Early revascularization, aimed at restoring adequate perfusion to the ischemic tissues, is recognized as a cornerstone of limb salvage in such patients [4]. However, the therapeutic strategy becomes increasingly complex when the patient also suffers from coronary artery disease (CAD), a highly prevalent comorbidity in this population.

CAD is present in up to 60–70% of patients with diabetic foot ulcers, often in a subclinical or previously undiagnosed form [5]. The pathophysiological overlap between diffuse atherosclerosis, endothelial dysfunction, and systemic inflammation contributes to a shared vascular vulnerability that affects both coronary and peripheral territories [6]. Moreover, the coexistence of CAD substantially raises the perioperative risk, limits the feasibility of open surgical bypass due to anesthesia-related concerns, and complicates decisions regarding antiplatelet and anticoagulant management during and after vascular interventions [7].

In light of these challenges, endovascular revascularization has gained growing importance as a less invasive alternative to traditional open surgery. Endovascular interventions (EI), particularly percutaneous transluminal angioplasty (PTA) with or without stent placement, allow targeted revascularization of tibial and pedal arteries under local anesthesia with shorter hospital stays and lower systemic stress [8]. This approach aligns with modern principles of minimally invasive and patient-centered care, especially in multimorbid diabetic populations.

Recent guidelines from the International Working Group on the Diabetic Foot (IWGDF) and the European Society for Vascular Surgery (ESVS) emphasize the priority of revascularization in all patients with limb-threatening ischemia, preferably by the endovascular-first strategy when anatomically feasible [9]. Nevertheless, these recommendations are often extrapolated from studies that exclude or underrepresent patients with severe cardiac comorbidities, leaving a knowledge gap regarding the safety and long-term effectiveness of EI in patients with both NDFS and CAD.

Furthermore, there is an urgent need to identify clinical scenarios in which EI provides not only limb salvage but also acceptable cardiovascular safety. The hemodynamic effects of balloon angioplasty in patients with reduced cardiac reserve, the potential for contrast-induced myocardial stress, and the impact of dual antiplatelet therapy on hemorrhagic complications remain areas of ongoing concern [10].

The present study was conducted at a national vascular referral center and aimed to evaluate the clinical outcomes of endovascular revascularization in patients with neuroischemic diabetic foot syndrome and coexistent coronary artery disease. Specifically, we assessed the rates of technical success, limb salvage, amputation-free survival, cardiovascular complications, and short-term mortality in this high-risk population. By focusing on real-world data from a multidisciplinary clinical setting, this work seeks to inform clinical decision-making and optimize care strategies for a challenging and increasingly common subset of patients.

METHODS

This prospective single-center observational study was conducted at the

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Republican Specialized Center of Surgery named after Academician V.V. Vakhidov (Tashkent, Uzbekistan) between January 2022 and December 2024. The study included 114 patients with neuroischemic diabetic foot syndrome (NDFS), all of whom underwent lower limb endovascular revascularization. The research protocol was approved by the institutional ethics committee, and written informed consent was obtained from all participants prior to enrollment.

Inclusion criteria were as follows: confirmed type 2 diabetes mellitus with a disease duration of at least five years; presence of an ischemic foot ulcer of grade 2 or higher according to the University of Texas Wound Classification; clinical and instrumental evidence of peripheral arterial disease, including an ankle-brachial index (ABI) ≤ 0.7 , toe systolic pressure < 50 mmHg, or transcutaneous oxygen tension (TcPO₂) below 30 mmHg; and electrophysiological confirmation of peripheral sensorimotor neuropathy indicating the neuroischemic nature of the lesion. All patients underwent preoperative imaging with either duplex ultrasonography or computed tomography angiography to assess the vascular anatomy and determine the feasibility of endovascular treatment.

Patients were stratified into two groups based on the presence or absence of coronary artery disease (CAD). In 59 patients (Group A), CAD was diagnosed based on a history of myocardial infarction, positive stress testing, regional wall motion abnormalities detected by echocardiography, or significant stenoses confirmed by prior coronary angiography. The remaining 55 patients (Group B) had no clinical or instrumental evidence of CAD. Patients with recent acute coronary syndrome (within 30 days), severe valvular heart disease, decompensated heart failure

with left ventricular ejection fraction $< 30\%$, or nonatherosclerotic arterial pathology were excluded from the study.

All endovascular procedures were performed under local anesthesia by experienced vascular interventionalists using standard femoral access—either contralateral retrograde or ipsilateral antegrade, depending on the vascular anatomy. The interventions included percutaneous transluminal balloon angioplasty (PTA) of the femoropopliteal and/or infrapopliteal segments. In cases of significant residual stenosis ($> 30\%$) or elastic recoil, selective stenting was performed. Intra-arterial vasodilators or antispasmodics were administered when distal runoff was compromised. Technical success was defined as restoration of antegrade flow in the target vessels with less than 30% residual stenosis and no procedure-related complications.

Postoperatively, all patients were monitored for at least 24 hours in a high-dependency unit and received dual antiplatelet therapy, including aspirin (100 mg daily) and clopidogrel (75 mg daily), for a minimum of three months. Clinical follow-up was conducted at one, three, and six months after the procedure. The primary endpoints included limb salvage (defined as the absence of major amputation above the ankle), amputation-free survival, and all-cause mortality at 30 days and 6 months. Secondary endpoints comprised cardiovascular complications such as acute coronary events, arrhythmias, and exacerbation of chronic heart failure, as well as the need for repeat revascularization and complete wound healing within the 6-month follow-up period.

Wound assessment and ulcer healing were evaluated by a multidisciplinary team using standardized photographic documentation and clinical scoring. Statistical analysis was

RESEARCH ARTICLE

performed using SPSS version 26.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). Continuous variables were expressed as mean \pm standard deviation and compared between groups using the Student's t-test or the Mann-Whitney U test, depending on data distribution. Categorical variables were analyzed using the χ^2 test or Fisher's exact test. Amputation-free survival was assessed using Kaplan-Meier survival analysis, with the log-rank test applied for between-group comparisons. A p-value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

A total of 114 patients with neuroischemic diabetic foot syndrome (NDFS) were included in the study. Among them, 59 patients (51.8%) had clinically and instrumentally confirmed coronary artery disease (CAD), while the remaining 55

patients (48.2%) showed no signs of CAD. The mean age across the entire cohort was 63.4 ± 7.8 years, with a predominance of male patients (68.4%), and there was no significant difference in gender distribution between the two groups. The mean duration of diabetes was 14.2 ± 5.6 years. Insulin therapy was used in over 92% of cases.

Patients with CAD exhibited a significantly higher prevalence of cardiovascular risk factors, including hypertension, dyslipidemia, and a history of smoking. A prior myocardial infarction was present in 47.5% of CAD patients. The extent of ischemia, as assessed by ankle-brachial index (ABI ≤ 0.7), and the severity of ulceration (Texas grade $\geq 2C$) were similar between the two groups. Detailed baseline characteristics are presented in table 1.

Table 1

Baseline clinical characteristics of patients with neuroischemic diabetic foot syndrome

PARAMETER	Group A: NDFS + CAD (n=59)	Group B: NDFS only (n=55)	p-value
Age, years (M \pm SD)	64.1 \pm 8.2	62.6 \pm 7.4	0.21
Male sex, n (%)	41 (69.5%)	37 (67.3%)	0.79
Duration of diabetes, years	15.1 \pm 6.1	13.3 \pm 5.2	0.08
Hypertension, n (%)	50 (84.7%)	39 (70.9%)	0.08
Dyslipidemia, n (%)	44 (74.6%)	31 (56.4%)	0.04
Previous myocardial infarction, n (%)	28 (47.5%)	0	<0.001
Smoking history, n (%)	36 (61.0%)	30 (54.5%)	0.48
Wound grade (Texas $\geq 2C$), n (%)	45 (76.3%)	43 (78.2%)	0.81
ABI ≤ 0.7 , n (%)	56 (94.9%)	53 (96.4%)	0.71

Endovascular revascularization was technically successful in 108 of 114 patients, yielding an overall technical success rate of 94.7%. No significant difference was noted between the CAD and non-CAD groups (93.2% vs 96.4%, $p=0.41$). Balloon angioplasty without stenting was

performed in 81.6% of cases, whereas adjunctive stenting was required in 18.4%, primarily due to elastic recoil or residual stenosis greater than 30%. The anterior tibial and peroneal arteries were the most commonly targeted segments, and the

RESEARCH ARTICLE

average number of treated segments per patient was 1.8.

Limb salvage at 6 months was achieved in 85.9% of patients overall. Major amputation was necessary in 10 patients: 6 in the CAD group and 4 in the non-CAD group. Although the incidence was numerically higher in the CAD group (10.2% vs 7.3%), this difference was not statistically significant ($p=0.58$). Minor amputations, typically toe or transmetatarsal resections, were more frequent in the CAD group, often performed to manage secondary infection or tissue necrosis.

Wound healing was achieved in 78 patients (68.4%) during the 6-month follow-up period, with no significant difference between groups (66.1% vs 70.9%, $p=0.58$). Cardiovascular complications, however,

were more common in the CAD group. Five patients (8.5%) experienced acute coronary syndrome, one of which was fatal, and four developed heart failure exacerbation requiring hospitalization. In comparison, only one patient (1.8%) in the non-CAD group experienced a cardiovascular event ($p=0.04$). Six-month mortality was 6.8% in the CAD group and 3.6% in the non-CAD group ($p=0.41$), though not statistically significant.

Repeat endovascular procedures were required in six cases (4 in Group A and 2 in Group B), primarily due to restenosis or progression of disease. No peri-procedural strokes, access-site complications, or contrast-related adverse events were recorded. Clinical outcomes are summarized in table 2.

Table 2

Clinical outcomes after endovascular intervention in patients with NDFS (6-month follow-up)

OUTCOME	Group A: NDFS + CAD (n=59)	Group B: NDFS only (n=55)	p-value
Technical success, n (%)	55 (93.2%)	53 (96.4%)	0.41
Major amputation, n (%)	6 (10.2%)	4 (7.3%)	0.58
Limb salvage rate, n (%)	53 (89.8%)	51 (92.7%)	0.58
Wound healing (complete), n (%)	39 (66.1%)	39 (70.9%)	0.58
Repeat revascularization, n (%)	4 (6.8%)	2 (3.6%)	0.43
Acute coronary events, n (%)	5 (8.5%)	1 (1.8%)	0.04
6-month mortality, n (%)	4 (6.8%)	2 (3.6%)	0.41

DISCUSSION

The findings of this study support the clinical value of endovascular revascularization in patients with neuroischemic diabetic foot syndrome (NDFS), including those with concomitant coronary artery disease (CAD). The technical success rate exceeded 94%, and the overall limb salvage rate at six months was nearly 86%, demonstrating the

feasibility and effectiveness of this minimally invasive strategy even in a high-risk population. These results align with prior international data indicating that endovascular-first approaches can achieve favorable limb outcomes in patients with diabetic foot ischemia, particularly in settings with expertise in tibial and pedal interventions [11].

RESEARCH ARTICLE

It is well established that the neuroischemic form of diabetic foot carries a particularly poor prognosis due to the combination of macrovascular occlusion and peripheral neuropathy, often leading to delayed presentation and advanced tissue loss [12]. In our study, the majority of ulcers were classified as grade $\geq 2C$ according to the Texas system, highlighting the severity of presentation. Nevertheless, timely revascularization resulted in a high rate of ulcer healing (68.4%) and a relatively low incidence of major amputation, which is consistent with contemporary reports suggesting that anatomical revascularization can reverse critical limb ischemia even in advanced ulcerative stages [13].

The co-existence of coronary artery disease remains a major determinant of overall prognosis in diabetic patients with peripheral arterial disease. CAD is frequently underdiagnosed in this population, and its presence substantially increases the risk of perioperative and long-term cardiac complications [14]. In our study, 51.8% of patients had known CAD based on clinical and instrumental criteria, which is comparable to previous studies reporting rates between 50% and 70% in similar cohorts [15]. Importantly, while patients with CAD demonstrated higher rates of cardiac events during follow-up—including acute coronary syndrome and heart failure decompensation—the presence of CAD did not significantly reduce the technical success of endovascular treatment or the rate of limb salvage.

This observation underscores the importance of careful perioperative risk assessment and cardiologic co-management. Our results show that with proper monitoring and optimization, endovascular revascularization can be safely performed in patients with known ischemic heart

disease. This is in line with findings from the BASIL trial and more recent observational registries, which suggest that the functional outcomes of revascularization are not necessarily inferior in patients with CAD, provided that cardiac status is adequately controlled [3].

It is noteworthy that although the 6-month mortality rate was nearly twice as high in the CAD group (6.8% vs 3.6%), this difference did not reach statistical significance. This may be due to the relatively small sample size and limited follow-up duration. Nonetheless, the trend supports the notion that cardiac morbidity remains a key factor influencing overall survival, and further long-term follow-up is needed to assess the durability of benefit in this subset.

Another important observation relates to the need for repeat revascularization. Although not statistically significant, reinterventions were more frequent in the CAD group (6.8% vs 3.6%). This may reflect a more aggressive atherosclerotic phenotype in systemic polyvascular disease. Still, all repeat procedures were technically successful and contributed to limb salvage, demonstrating that a staged or iterative approach may be justified in complex cases.

In terms of practical implications, this study reinforces the need for a multidisciplinary approach to patients with NDFS and CAD, involving vascular surgeons, diabetologists, interventionalists, and cardiologists. Preprocedural cardiac evaluation, optimization of heart failure, and individualized antiplatelet strategies are essential components of perioperative planning. Moreover, our findings support the current recommendations by the International Working Group on the Diabetic Foot (IWGDF), which advocate for timely revascularization in all patients with

RESEARCH ARTICLE

limb-threatening ischemia, regardless of comorbidity burden, as long as life expectancy and functional status are preserved [5].

A key strength of this study is the real-world setting in a national surgical referral center, providing data reflective of everyday clinical practice. The main limitations include the single-center design, the relatively short follow-up period of six months, and the lack of systematic coronary angiography in all patients. Additionally, we did not assess the influence of renal function or contrast-induced nephropathy, which could further impact outcomes in this high-risk group.

In conclusion, the present study provides evidence that endovascular revascularization is an effective and safe treatment modality for patients with neuroischemic diabetic foot syndrome, including those with concomitant coronary artery disease. While CAD increases the risk of cardio-vascular complications, it should not be considered a contraindication to intervention. With appropriate patient selection and multidisciplinary care, favorable limb outcomes can be achieved without compromising cardiac safety.

CONCLUSION

Endovascular revascularization represents an effective and safe therapeutic option for patients with neuroischemic diabetic foot syndrome, even in the presence of concomitant coronary artery disease. Despite a higher incidence of cardiovascular complications in patients with CAD, the rates of technical success, limb salvage, and wound healing were comparable to those in patients without cardiac comorbidity. These findings highlight the importance of a multidisciplinary approach and suggest that CAD, while associated with increased risk, should not preclude timely intervention aimed at limb preservation.

Conflict of Interest. The author declares no conflict of interest related to this study.

Acknowledgements. The author expresses gratitude to the vascular surgery and diabetic foot multidisciplinary teams at the Republican Specialized Center of Surgery named after Academician V.V. Vakhidov for their invaluable assistance in the clinical management and follow-up of study patients.

Funding. This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Ethical Approval. The study protocol was reviewed and approved by the Institutional Review Board of the Republican Specialized Center of Surgery named after Academician V.V. Vakhidov. Written informed consent was obtained from all patients prior to inclusion in the study.

REFERENCES

1. Zhang P, Lu J, Jing Y, Tang S, Zhu D, Bi Y. Global epidemiology of diabetic foot ulceration: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Ann Med*. 2017;49(2):106–116.
2. Hinchliffe RJ, Brownrigg JR, Andros G, et al. Effectiveness of revascularization of the ulcerated foot in patients with diabetes and peripheral artery disease: a systematic review. *Diabetes Metab Res Rev*. 2016;32(Suppl 1):136–144.
3. Armstrong DG, Boulton AJM, Bus SA. Diabetic foot ulcers and their recurrence. *N Engl J Med*. 2017;376(24):2367–2375.
4. Schaper NC, Van Netten JJ, Apelqvist J, Bus SA, Hinchliffe RJ, Lipsky BA. Practical guide-lines on the prevention and management of diabetic foot disease (IWGDF 2019 update). *Diabetes Metab Res Rev*. 2020;36(S1):e3266.
5. Beckman JA, Creager MA, Libby P. Diabetes and atherosclerosis:

RESEARCH ARTICLE

- epidemiology, pathophysiology, and management. JAMA. 2002;287(19):2570–2581.
6. Jude EB, Eleftheriadou I, Tentolouris N. Peripheral arterial disease in diabetes—a review. *Diabet Med*. 2010;27(1):4–14.
7. Morbach S, Furchert H, Gröblichhoff U, et al. Long-term prognosis of diabetic foot patients and their limbs: amputation and death over the course of a decade. *Diabetes Care*. 2012;35(10):2021–2027.
8. Bradbury AW, Adam DJ, Bell J, et al. Bypass versus angioplasty in severe ischaemia of the leg (BASIL): multicentre, randomised controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2005;366(9501):1925–1934.
9. Katsanos K, Spiliopoulos S, Karunanithy N, et al. Systematic review of infrapopliteal balloon angioplasty for critical limb ischemia. *J Endovasc Ther*. 2011;18(3):367–380.
10. Conte MS, Bradbury AW, Kolh P, et al. Global vascular guidelines on the management of chronic limb-threatening ischemia. *J Vasc Surg*. 2019;69(6S):3S–125S.e40.
11. Norgren L, Hiatt WR, Dormandy JA, Nehler MR, Harris KA, Fowkes FG. Inter-Society Consensus for the Management of Peripheral Arterial Disease (TASC II). *J Vasc Surg*. 2007;45(Suppl S):S5–S67.
12. DeRubertis BG, Pierce M, Ryer EJ, Trocciola SM, Rhee SJ, Kent KC. Reduced primary patency rate in patients with coronary artery disease undergoing infrainguinal bypass for critical limb ischemia. *J Vasc Surg*. 2008;47(3):656–664.
13. Varu VN, Hogg ME, Kibbe MR. Critical limb ischemia. *J Vasc Surg*. 2010;51(1):230–241.
14. Hata Y, Ishibashi H, Tomaru T, et al. Endovascular therapy for chronic limb-threatening ischemia: early and midterm outcomes from a real-world Japanese multicenter registry. *Ann Vasc Dis*. 2020;13(3):250–258.
15. Faglia E, Clerici G, Clerissi J, et al. Long-term prognosis of diabetic patients with critical limb ischemia: a population-based cohort study. *Diabetes Care*. 2009;32(5):822–827.