

RESEARCH ARTICLE

EXPLORING THE PREVALENCE OF WHITE COAT HYPERTENSION AMONG ADULT PRIMARY CARE ATTENDEES: A COMPREHENSIVE ANALYSIS

Chun Geok Hong

International Medical University, Malaysia

Abstract: This study presents a comprehensive analysis of the prevalence of white coat hypertension among adult primary care attendees. White coat hypertension, characterized by elevated blood pressure readings in clinical settings but normal blood pressure levels outside of these environments, poses diagnostic and management challenges in clinical practice. The study examines data from a large cohort of adult primary care attendees, analyzing blood pressure measurements obtained in clinical settings and through ambulatory monitoring. By comparing the prevalence of white coat hypertension between these two modalities, the study sheds light on the magnitude of the phenomenon and its implications for hypertension diagnosis and management. Additionally, factors contributing to the occurrence of white coat hypertension are explored, including patient demographics, clinical characteristics, and health outcomes. The findings contribute to a better understanding of white coat hypertension prevalence and inform strategies for its recognition and management in primary care settings.

Key words: White coat hypertension, prevalence, primary care, ambulatory blood pressure monitoring, clinical settings, diagnosis, management, patient demographics, clinical characteristics, health outcomes.

INTRODUCTION

White coat hypertension (WCH) represents a phenomenon wherein individuals exhibit elevated blood pressure readings in clinical settings, such as primary care offices, despite having normal blood pressure levels outside of these environments. This condition poses significant challenges in the accurate diagnosis and management of hypertension, a major risk factor for cardiovascular disease and other adverse health outcomes. Understanding the prevalence and determinants of white coat hypertension among adult primary care attendees is crucial for improving hypertension detection and optimizing patient care.

In clinical practice, blood pressure measurements obtained in the traditional clinical setting may not accurately reflect a

patient's true blood pressure status due to the phenomenon of white coat hypertension. Elevated readings in clinical settings may result from situational stress, anxiety, or apprehension associated with medical visits, rather than underlying pathological processes. As a result, the diagnosis and management of hypertension based solely on office blood pressure measurements may lead to overtreatment, unnecessary interventions, and increased healthcare costs.

Ambulatory blood pressure monitoring (ABPM) has emerged as a valuable tool for assessing blood pressure variability and detecting white coat hypertension. Unlike office-based measurements, ABPM provides continuous blood pressure monitoring over a 24-hour period, capturing fluctuations in

RESEARCH ARTICLE

blood pressure throughout daily activities and during sleep. By comparing blood pressure measurements obtained in clinical settings with those obtained through ABPM, clinicians can differentiate between white coat hypertension and sustained hypertension, facilitating more accurate diagnosis and personalized treatment strategies.

Despite its clinical relevance, the prevalence of white coat hypertension among adult primary care attendees remains poorly understood. Existing studies have reported variable estimates of white coat hypertension prevalence, reflecting differences in study populations, methodologies, and diagnostic criteria. Furthermore, factors contributing to the occurrence of white coat hypertension, such as patient demographics, clinical characteristics, and health outcomes, warrant further investigation to inform targeted interventions and risk stratification strategies in primary care settings.

Against this backdrop, this study aims to explore the prevalence of white coat hypertension among adult primary care attendees through a comprehensive analysis of blood pressure measurements obtained in clinical settings and through ambulatory monitoring. By elucidating the magnitude of the phenomenon and its determinants, this research seeks to enhance our understanding of white coat hypertension prevalence and inform evidence-based approaches to hypertension diagnosis and management in primary care practice.

METHOD

The exploration of the prevalence of white coat hypertension among adult primary care attendees involved a systematic and comprehensive process aimed

at understanding the scope and determinants of this phenomenon. Initially, the study protocol was developed, outlining the research questions, objectives, and methodological approach. Collaborative efforts between researchers, healthcare professionals, and statisticians were instrumental in designing a robust study framework and ensuring the validity and reliability of the findings.

Patient recruitment and data collection were conducted within primary care clinics across a defined geographic region. Adult individuals presenting to primary care settings for routine medical appointments or consultations were invited to participate in the study. Trained healthcare professionals obtained blood pressure measurements using standardized protocols, both in the clinical setting and through ambulatory blood pressure monitoring (ABPM).

Blood pressure measurements obtained in the clinical setting were compared with those obtained through ABPM to differentiate between white coat hypertension and sustained hypertension. Ambulatory monitoring provided insights into blood pressure fluctuations throughout daily activities and during sleep, offering a more comprehensive assessment of blood pressure variability and dynamics.

Data analysis involved the application of statistical techniques to assess the prevalence of white coat hypertension and explore factors associated with its occurrence. Descriptive statistics summarized demographic characteristics, clinical parameters, and health outcomes of participants, while logistic regression analysis identified predictors of white coat hypertension, accounting for potential confounders and covariates.

RESEARCH ARTICLE

This study employed a cross-sectional design to explore the prevalence of white coat hypertension among adult primary care attendees. Data were collected from a large cohort of patients attending primary care clinics within a defined geographic region. The study population included adult individuals aged 18 years and above who presented to primary care settings for routine medical appointments or consultations.

Blood pressure measurements were obtained using standardized protocols in both clinical settings and through ambulatory blood pressure monitoring (ABPM). In the clinical setting, blood pressure measurements were taken using automated sphygmomanometers by trained healthcare professionals following established guidelines. Multiple blood pressure readings were recorded during each patient visit to account for variability and ensure accuracy.

Ambulatory blood pressure monitoring (ABPM) was conducted using portable devices worn by participants over a 24-hour period. The ABPM devices automatically measured blood pressure at regular intervals throughout the day and night, providing a comprehensive profile of blood pressure fluctuations in different settings and activities. Participants were instructed to maintain their usual daily activities and sleep patterns during the monitoring period.

To assess the prevalence of white coat hypertension, blood pressure measurements obtained in clinical settings were compared with those obtained through ambulatory monitoring. White coat hypertension was defined as elevated blood pressure readings in the clinical setting (office blood pressure) with normal blood pressure levels during ambulatory monitoring. Diagnostic criteria for hypertension followed established

guidelines, including thresholds for systolic and diastolic blood pressure levels.

Statistical analysis was conducted to calculate the prevalence of white coat hypertension and examine factors associated with its occurrence. Descriptive statistics were used to summarize demographic characteristics, clinical parameters, and health outcomes of participants. Logistic regression analysis was employed to identify predictors of white coat hypertension, controlling for potential confounders such as age, sex, body mass index, and comorbidities.

Ethical approval was obtained from the institutional review board, and informed consent was obtained from all participants prior to data collection. Confidentiality and data security measures were implemented to protect the privacy of participants and ensure compliance with ethical standards.

Through this methodological approach, the study aimed to provide a comprehensive analysis of the prevalence of white coat hypertension among adult primary care attendees, elucidating its clinical significance and informing evidence-based approaches to hypertension management in primary care practice.

RESULTS

The comprehensive analysis of the prevalence of white coat hypertension among adult primary care attendees revealed notable findings regarding blood pressure variability and diagnostic challenges in clinical practice. From the cohort of participants, a significant proportion exhibited elevated blood pressure readings in the clinical setting, suggestive of white coat hypertension. However, ambulatory blood pressure monitoring (ABPM) data indicated normal blood pressure levels outside of clinical environments for many of these individuals.

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Further analysis indicated that the prevalence of white coat hypertension among primary care attendees was substantial, accounting for a significant portion of individuals diagnosed with hypertension based solely on office blood pressure measurements. Factors such as age, sex, body mass index, and presence of comorbidities were found to influence the likelihood of white coat hypertension, highlighting the complexity of hypertension diagnosis and management in primary care settings.

DISCUSSION

The findings underscore the diagnostic challenges associated with white coat hypertension and the importance of incorporating ambulatory blood pressure monitoring (ABPM) into routine clinical practice. While elevated blood pressure readings in the clinical setting may trigger a diagnosis of hypertension, the discrepancy between office blood pressure measurements and ambulatory monitoring results emphasizes the need for a more nuanced approach to hypertension diagnosis and management.

White coat hypertension poses not only diagnostic dilemmas but also potential implications for patient management and outcomes. Overtreatment of white coat hypertension based on office blood pressure measurements alone may lead to unnecessary pharmacological interventions, increased healthcare costs, and patient anxiety. Conversely, failure to recognize white coat hypertension may result in undertreatment and inadequate blood pressure control, increasing the risk of cardiovascular events and adverse health outcomes.

The findings of this study highlight the importance of individualized hypertension management strategies that take into

account patients' blood pressure variability and clinical characteristics. Incorporating ambulatory blood pressure monitoring (ABPM) into routine clinical practice can help differentiate between white coat hypertension and sustained hypertension, enabling clinicians to tailor treatment recommendations and lifestyle interventions based on accurate blood pressure measurements.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the comprehensive analysis of the prevalence of white coat hypertension among adult primary care attendees underscores the diagnostic complexities and clinical implications associated with this phenomenon. By integrating ambulatory blood pressure monitoring (ABPM) into routine clinical practice, healthcare providers can more accurately diagnose and manage hypertension, minimizing the risks of overtreatment and undertreatment while optimizing patient outcomes.

Moving forward, continued research efforts are warranted to further elucidate the determinants and consequences of white coat hypertension and to develop evidence-based guidelines for its diagnosis and management in primary care settings. By embracing a patient-centered approach to hypertension care that considers individual variability and preferences, clinicians can improve the quality of care and enhance cardiovascular health outcomes for patients with hypertension.

REFERENCES

1. Clinical Guideline 127: Hypertension in adults: Diagnosis and management. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence, 2011.

RESEARCH ARTICLE

2. Pickering TG. White coat hypertension – Should it be treated or not? *Cleve Clin J Med*. 2002;69(8):584–5.
3. Martinez MA, Garcia-Puig J, Martin JC, et al. Frequency and determinants of white coat hypertension in mild to moderate hypertension: a primary care-based study. *Monitorizacion Ambulatoria de la Presion Arterial (MAPA)-Area 5 Working Group*. *Am J Hypertens*. 1999;12(3):251–9.
4. Julius S, Jamerson K, Gudbrandsson T, et al. White coat hypertension: a follow-up. *Clin Exp Hypertens A*. 1992;14(1-2):45–53.
5. Lemne C, Lindvall K, Georgiades A, et al. Structural cardiac changes in relation to 24h ambulatory blood pressure levels in borderline hypertension. *J Intern Med*. 1995;238(1):49–57.
6. Hoegholm A, Bang LE, Kristensen KS, et al. Microalbuminuria in 411 untreated individuals with established hypertension, white coat hypertension, and normotension. *Hypertension*. 1994;24(1):101–5.
7. Zhou J, Liu C, Shan P, et al. Characteristics of white coat hypertension in Chinese Han patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Clin Exp Hypertens*. 2014;36(5):321–5.
8. Ng CM, Yiu SF, Choi KL, et al. Prevalence and significance of white-coat hypertension and masked hypertension in type 2 diabetics. *Hong Kong Med J*. 2008;14(6):437–43.
9. Hwang ES, Choi KJ, Kang DH, et al. Prevalence, predictive factor, and clinical significance of white-coat hypertension and masked hypertension in Korean hypertensive patients. *Korean J Intern Med*. 2007;22(4):256–62.
10. Ugajin T, Hozawa A, Ohkubo T, et al. White-coat hypertension as a risk factor for the development of home hypertension: the Ohasama study. *Arch Intern Med*. 2005;165:1541–6.
11. Segre CA, Ueno RK, Warde KRJ, et al. White-coat hypertension and normotension in the league of hypertension of the Hospital das Clínicas, FMUSP. Prevalence, clinical and demographic characteristics. *Arq Bras Cardiol*. 2003;80(2):117–21.